Approved For Release 2005/12/23: CIA-RDP83-00156R000600020017-2 This Notice Expires 1 September 1979

_	_	_	_	_			_	
	ŧ.	n	r	n	A.	АI	E	
~	_	ж.	•		11	14	-	

11	September	1978

ST

ST

DEVELOPMENT OF THE UNIFORM PROMOTION SYSTEM

TAT	Reference:	
	wererence.	

- 1. This notice highlights some of the more significant features of the Uniform Promotion System announced in ______. Also provided is specific information on revised Fitness Report schedules and the dates established for promotion of all grades. Change has been directed toward achievement of a primary management goal—increased employee awareness of promotional opportunities. The new system includes the following major innovations:
 - a. Agency-wide uniform promotion schedules by grades.
 - b. A minimum annual target for promotion of qualified employees for each grade, established by appropriate Career Services or Subgroups and published for the information of all employees.
- c. Specific Career Service criteria for promotion,
 published by the Career Service or Career Service Subgroup.
 - d. An evaluation panel system to be used by all Career Services in determination of eligibility for promotion. Panel rankings and recommendations can be changed only by the Director.
 - e. Certificates of Promotion.
- 2. On 12 July 1978 the Deputy Director of Central Intelligence approved a revised Fitness Report schedule and a promotion schedule keyed to the Fitness Report dates. The promotion exercises may be annual or semiannual at the option of the Career Services or Career Service Subgroups.
- 3. There will be no established schedule for promotion to grades GS-06 and below. Employees in these grades will be promoted on the basis of merit, within Career Service criteria for promotion, panel evaluations, headroom, and the availability of properly graded positions.
- 4. The revised Fitness Report schedules will be operative for all Career Services, except the D Career Service, as of 1 October 1978. The D Service will establish its own Fitness Report schedule to meet the Agency promotion dates. Where the semiannual promotion option is elected, the first exercise in FY 1979 may be effective with either date noted on the schedule. To avoid an undue period without an evaluation of performance for GS-12 and 13 employees, there will be a one-time out-of-phase evaluation for the period ending 31 December 1978.

TAT

Approved For Release 2005/12/23 : CIA-RDP83-00156R00060002994772

11 September 1978

UNIFORM PROMOTION SCHEDULE

Current Grade	New Fitness Report Period End Date	PROMOTION TO N Effective First Pay Annual Option Se	
GS-15 and above	31 March	July	January
GS-14	30 April	September	March
GS-13	30 June	November	May
GS-12	31 July	December	June
GS-11	31 August	January	July
GS-10	30 September	February	August
GS-09	30 September	February	August
GS-08	31 December	May	November
GS-07	31 December	May	November
GS-06	31 January	June	December
GS-05 and below	31 March	U N'S'C H'E'D U L	FD will come the

FY 79 G5-12

3/600

JOHN F. BLAKE
Deputy Director
for

DISTRIBUTION: ALL EMPLOYEES

DMINISTRATIVE :NTERNAL USE O

ATTACHNEL.

Approved For Release 2005/12/23 : CIA-RDP83-00156R000600020017-2

UNIFORM PROMOTION SCHEDULE FY 1980

Current	Grade	Promotion Effective Date Annual Option	Promotion Personnel Action Due in TRB/CPD	Promotion Effective Date Semi-Armual	Promotion Personnel Action Due in TRB/CP
GS-15 an	ıd above	13 Jan 1980	14 Nov 1979	13 Jul 1980	14 May 1980
GS-14		07 Sep 1980	21 Aug 1980	09 Mar 1980	22 Feb 1980
GS-13		04 Nov 1979	19 Oct 1979	04 May 1980	18 Apr 1980
GS-12		02 Dec 1979	15 Nov 1979	01 Jun 1980	15 May 1980
GS-11		13 Jan 1980	27 Dec 1979	13 Jul 1980	26 Jun 1980
GS-10	. •	10 Feb 1980	25 Jan 1980	10 Aug 1980	25 Jul 1980
GS-09		10 Feb 1980	25 Jan 1980	10 Aug 1980	25 Jul 1980
GS-08		04 Nov 1979	19 Oct 1979	04 May 1980	18 Apr 1980
GS-07		04 Nov 1979	19 Oct 1979	04 May 1980	18 Apr 1980
GS-06		02 Dec 1979	15 Nov 1979	01 Jun 1980	15 May 1980

Approved For Release 2005/12/23 -- CIA-RDR83-00156R000600020017-2

7-20

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

EVALUATION WORKSHEET

I.

NAI	ME_			GRADE	DATE
					•
FA	CTC	ORS .			
A.	PE	RFORMANC	<u>E</u>		
	1.			o which the individual active esponsibility and/or offers s	
		Point Range	Points	<u>Items</u>	
		0	· 	Waits for direction need prodding.	s constant
		1 - 3	***************************************	Occasionally shows initiative reminders needed.	ve moderate
	ı	4 - 6		Usually shows initiative prodding.	seldom needs
		7 - 9		Exceptional initiative a	real self-starter.
	2.		The degree sidered sour	to which decisions reached b	oy an individual
		Point Range	Points	<u>Items</u>	
		Ö		Decisions are usually in er	ror.
		1 - 3		While decisions sporadical correct, errors in judgment enough that validity of deci	nt occur frequently

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

doubted or questioned.

. .

	4		
	Point Range	Points	<u>Items</u>
	4 - 6		Decisions most often are correct.
	7 - 9		Decisions invariably prove to be correct.
3.	•	n environme	ee to which the individual completes assign- ntal constraints (time, information, super-
	Point Range	Points	<u>Items</u>
	0		Lacking and incomplete.
	1 - 3		Record is uneven and at times is unacceptable.
	4 - 6		Acceptable and completed as required.
	7 - 9		Exceptional.
4.	Quality: Th	e degree to	which the individual produces high quality
	Point Range	Points	<u>Items</u>
	0		Spotty and often unacceptable.
	1 - 3		Marginally acceptable.
	4 - 6		Contains few errors and few evidences of carelessness generally acceptable.
	7 - 9		Of exceptional value.

B. SKILLS

 Substantive Knowledge & Experience: The degree to which the individual has mastered the substantive area(s) within which he/she works.

Point Range	Points	<u>Items</u>
0	***************************************	Poor useful knowledge and experience almost totally absent.
1 - 3		Fair barely adequate.
4 6		Good more than sufficient to deal with most situations.
7 - 9		Exceptional fully developed for widest variety of situations.

2. Self-Expression: The degree to which the individual can effectively express himself/herself in substantive personnel matters.

Point Range	Points	Items
0 .		Unsatisfactory.
1 - 3		Marginal.
4 - 6		Useful and meaningful.
7 - 9		Outstanding.

3. Supervisory: The degree to which the individual is able to motivate and/or supervise people in an activity or program. Individuals having specific supervisory responsibilities will be evaluated by using Section a.; all others will be evaluated by using Section b.

-,}

Point

a. Supervisory

Range	Points	<u>Items</u>
0		Needs constant direction from superior.
1 - 3		Occasionally needs help from superior.
4 - 6		Able to deal with most situations.
7 - 9		Fully developed for widest variety of situations.
	ъ.	Non-Supervisory
Point Range	Points	Items
0		Needs constant guidance and direction when working with, advising and guiding people not officially associated with the individual's area of assignment but who are affected by action taken.
1 - 3		Works well in advising and guiding people in certain aspects of his/her activity but requires some assistance from superior.
4 - 6		Works well in advising and guiding people in the various phases of his/her activity.
7 - 9		Complete confidence of supervisors in independently working with all levels of employees covering all aspects of his/her activity.

4. Organization and/or Management: The degree to which the individual is able to organize and/or manage an activity to its assigned completion. Section a. should be used to evaluate an individual who manages both programs and people; Section b. should be used to evaluate an individual who does not manage people but who does have an organization and/or a management responsibility.

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

a. Programs/People

4

Point		•
Range	Points	<u>Items</u>
0		Lacks the ability to plan and organize pro-, jects, establish priorities, delegate responsibility, and manage people. Needs constant advice, guidance, and assistance.
1 - 3	-	Encounters some difficulty in planning and organizing projects, establishing priorities, delegating responsibility, managing and motivating people. Requires some guidance and assistance in managing assignments.
4 - 6		Performs effectively in planning and organizing assignments, establishing priorities, delegating responsibility, and managing and motivating people; knows when to discuss and review problems with higher echelons.
7 - 9	-	Unusually resourceful in planning, organizing, and directing projects, establishing priorities, managing and motivating people. Requires very little advice, guidance, and direction in handling responsibilities. Keeps supervisor aware of status of projects and assignments.
	b. .	Programs/Projects

Point Range	Points	<u>Items</u>
0		Manages assigned projects, studies, etc. poorly. Cannot plan and organize work, establish realistic priorities, accomplish projects within acceptable time limits.

-5-

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

	· •	
Point Range	Points	Items
1 - 3		Needs some assistance, guidance, and instruc- tion prior to and during the management of projects and programs including the develop- ment of plans, organization of work, estab- lishment of priorities, and completion of assignments within realistic time limits.
4 - 6		Is effective in managing projects, developing plans, organizing work, establishing priorities, handling problem situations, and completing assignments on a timely basis.
7 - 9		Works independently in planning and organizing work, establishing priorities, and handling major problems. Can be relied upon to complete assignments on a timely basis. Can be relied upon to propose major changes in programs or assignments. Requires no guidance and assistance but insures that proposed changes in programs or direction are discussed with higher echelons.

5. Interpersonal: The degree to which the individual successfully relates to and works with subordinates, peers, supervisors, and counterparts in other organizations.

Point Range	Points	<u>Items</u>
0 .		Does not work well with others.
1 - 3		Has some difficulty working with others.
4 - 6		Works well with others.
7 - 9	 .	Demonstrates exceptional ability in working with and relating to others.

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

-6-

C. POTENTIAL

Capacity for Growth: The degree to which the individual can be expected to assume increased responsibility. In assessing each careerist's potential, the evaluating officer should ensure the ratings previously given under A. and B. are given consideration along with other factors such as ability to progress to higher levels of responsibility, acceptability by Agency components, willingness to serve in any assignment, and interest in self-improvement and professional growth.

Point	Daimta	
Range	Points	<u>Items</u>
0		Capacity for growth none.
1 - 3		Capacity for growth limited.
4 - 6		Capacity for growth good.
7 - 9		Capacity for growth excellent.

- 7 -

SUMMARY OF POINTS

A.	PE	RFORMANCE			
	1:	Initiative			•
	2.	Judgment			
	3.	Productivity			•
	4.	Quality			
		Subtotal:			(
в.	<u>sk</u>	ILLS	•		
	1.	Substantive Knowledge & Experience			
	2.	Self-Expression			
	3.	Supervision a. Supervisory			
		or b. Non-Supervisory			
	4.	Organization/Management a. Programs/People or			ı
		b. Programs/Projects		•	•
	5.	Interpersonal			~
		Subtotal:			1
c.	PC	DTENTIAL			
		TOTAL POINT	cs		

-8-

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

		1		T -		1.	1		1	1	1		1			1	- 1	_	 	 , .	
	Ар	prov	ed Fo	or Re	ease	200	5/12/	23 : 0	IA-R	DP8:	3-001	56R0	0060	002	0017-	2					
Α.	PERFORMANCE														 						
	1. Initiative 2. Judgment 3. Productivity 4. Quality																				
В.	SKILLS																				
	1. Substantive Knowledge & Experience 2. Self-Expression																				
	3. Supervisory 4. Organization and/or Management																				
-	5. Interpersonal																				
	POTENTIAL Capacity for Growth																				
•	L POINTS																				
· · ·		,							,	·											
	Ар	prov	ed F	or Re	lease	200	5/12 <i>i</i>	23 :	CIA-F	DP8	3-001	56R	0006	002	017-	2					